

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,973 12/15/2003		Ulrich Certa	21507 US	4513		
151	7590 06/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN	IN-LA ROCHE INC.	CHOWDHURY, I	CHOWDHURY, IQBAL HOSSAIN			
	AW DEPARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NUTLEY, 1	LAND STREET NJ 07110		1652			
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summer		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/735,97	3	CERTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
		1 -	dhury, Ph.D.	1652					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on							
		_							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)[	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date				Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-14, and 43, drawn to isolated polypeptide PDE, classified in class 435, subclass 196.
- II. Claims 15-34, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide of PDE, expression vector, host cell and process for producing PDE, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
- III. Claims 35-36, drawn to an antibody of isolated polypeptide PDE, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- IV. Claim 37 and 38, drawn to method for screening of agonist or antagonist of PDE activity, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
- V. Claim 39-41, drawn to a compound and pharmaceutical composition, which acts as agonist or antagonist of PDE activity, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- VI. Claim 42, drawn to method for screening of agonist or antagonist of PDE, which interacts with crystal PDE, classified in class 435, subclass 15.

For each of inventions I-VI above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC121. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I-VI and one of inventions (A) - (F).

- (A). protein of PED4D3.
- (B). protein of PED4D4
- (C). protein of PED4D5.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,973

Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

(D). protein of PED4D6.

(E). protein of PED4D7.

(F). protein of PED4D8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The DNA of Group II and the proteins of Group I and III, and compound of Group V

each comprise a chemically unrelated structure capable of separate manufacture, use and effect.

The DNA of Group II comprises a nucleic acid sequence and the proteins of Group I, and III

each comprise unrelated amino acid sequences and compound of Group V having distinct

chemical structure. The DNA has other utility besides encoding the proteins such hybridization

or probe, the proteins can be made by another method such as isolation from natural sources or

chemical synthesis and the proteins have other utility besides acting as an antigen to induce the

antibodies such as being used as animal feed. Chemical compound can be used for different

unrelated functions.

3. Inventions I and IV and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using

the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein can be used for the materially different process of

inducing an antibody.

4. Inventions polynucleotide Group II and antibody Group III are unrelated to the methods

of Groups IV and VI as they are neither used nor made by the methods of Groups IV and VI as

they have different modes of operation and different functions.

Art Unit: 1652

5. Inventions compound of Group V is unrelated to the methods of Group IV and VI as compound of Group V is neither used nor made by the methods of Group IV and VI as they different modes of operation and different functions.

- 6. The methods of groups IV and VI are patentably distinct, as they comprise unrelated steps, as produce different products with different effects.
- 7. The proteins of Group (A)-(F) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions represent structurally different polypeptides. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression or antibody binding, the different sequences have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37CFR 1.48b if one or more of the currently named inventors are no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1652

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the imitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,973 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iqbal H. Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Iqbal Chowdhury, PhD, Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 (Recombinant Enzymes) US Patent and Trademark Office Rm. REM 2B69, Mail Box. 2C70 Ph. (571)-272-8137, Fax. (571)-273-8137

IC

REBECCA E. PROUTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800